

A Python Tool for Reconstructing Full News Text from GDELT

Andrea Fronzetti Colladon ^a, Roberto Vestrelli ^{b, c}

^a *Department of Civil, Computer Science and Aeronautical Technologies Engineering, Roma Tre University, Via della Vasca Navale 79, 00146 Rome, Italy*

^b *Department of Engineering, University of Perugia, Via G. Duranti 93, 06125 Perugia, Italy*

^c *Sloan School of Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 100 Main St, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA*

Abstract

News data have become an essential resource across various disciplines, including economics, finance, management, social sciences, and computer science. Researchers leverage newspaper articles to study economic trends, market dynamics, corporate strategies, public perception, political discourse, and the evolution of public opinion. Additionally, news datasets have been instrumental in training large-scale language models, with applications in sentiment analysis, fake news detection, and automated news summarization. Despite their significance, access to comprehensive news corpora remains a key challenge. Many full-text news providers, such as Factiva and LexisNexis, require costly subscriptions, while free alternatives often suffer from incomplete data and transparency issues. This paper presents a novel approach to obtaining full-text newspaper articles at near-zero cost by leveraging data from the Global Database of Events, Language, and Tone (GDELT). Specifically, we focus on the GDELT Web News NGrams 3.0 dataset, which provides high-frequency updates of n-grams extracted from global online news sources. We provide Python code to reconstruct full-text articles from these n-grams by identifying overlapping textual fragments and intelligently merging them. Our method enables researchers to access structured, large-scale newspaper data for text analysis while overcoming the limitations of existing proprietary datasets. The proposed approach enhances the accessibility of news data for empirical research, facilitating applications in economic forecasting, computational social science, and natural language processing.

Keywords: GDELT, Online News, Big Data, Python

1. Introduction

Newspaper data present an extremely valuable resource, as demonstrated by their applicability across various research areas, spanning economics, finance, management, social sciences, computational science, and computer science.

In economics and finance, news has been used to predict stock price reversals (Tetlock, 2007) and to examine the impact of negative sentiment toward companies on their financial performance (Tetlock et al., 2008). Other studies have used news analysis to forecast financial markets (Fronzetti Colladon et al., 2023; García, 2013) or to explain the cross-section of stock returns (García, 2013). In the macroeconomic field, newspaper articles have been employed to construct economic indicators. For example, Davis (2016) developed an index to quantify political uncertainty on a global scale, demonstrating its correlation with recessions and employment changes.

Additionally, news data are widely studied due to their informative role. In this regard, numerous studies have investigated the impact of fake news and viral stories, given their power to influence public opinion and amplify economic trends (Shiller, 2020). For example, Zhang et al. (2023) trained a deep learning model to classify news reliability and detect fake news. Because of their ability to shape public opinion, news media have been analyzed for their role in corporate brand building and reputation management. Researchers have shown that media coverage influences IPOs and ICOs by enhancing a company's legitimacy in the eyes of investors (Fronzetti Colladon et al., 2025; Pollock & Rindova, 2003). Additionally, scholars have interpreted media presence as a form of public scrutiny: the more media coverage a company or political figure receives, the greater the public's attention. For example, Kölbel et al. (2017)

demonstrated that media coverage of corporate irresponsibility incidents (e.g., environmental accidents and labor scandals) affected a company's risk profile.

In social sciences, communication scholars have long examined the media's ability to shape public perceptions of a wide range of (Entman, 1993; Ross, 2006; Scheufele, 1999) and to influence which topics gain prominence in social and political discourse (Dearing & Rogers, 1996; McCombs, 1997). Additionally, social scientists have relied on the news to investigate protests (Jenkins & Perrow, 1977; McAdam, 1999, 1999; Olzak, 1994), forecast elections (Fronzetti Colladon, 2020), and evaluate the evolution of public opinion (Galambos, 2019).

Historically, studies employing news-based data required labor-intensive manual analysis of news content. However, recent computational advancements have significantly reduced the time and cost of these studies by automating data and natural language processing (NLP). As a result, more studies now utilize large-scale, automatically coded news datasets across various disciplines.

This trend has also emphasized the importance of news data for current research in computational linguistics. The advancement of language models has increased the demand for large-scale datasets needed for training and validating these models. For example, 82% of the raw tokens used to train GPT-3 come from news data (Brown et al., 2020). Today's Large Language Models (LLMs) are employed for automated news analysis, ranging from sentiment analysis (Chandra et al., 2024) to tasks such as automated news summarization (Zhang et al., 2023).

Despite the proven importance of news data in empirical research, one of the main challenges in their analysis is the access to articles. While providers such as Factiva,

LexisNexis, and Event Registry offer full-text access, using these platforms is often costly. Free alternatives exist, such as datasets available on Kaggle or GitHub, but they frequently suffer from limitations in completeness and transparency regarding data collection. Another option consists in relying on providers that do not directly offer news text but instead provide pre-calculated text-based metrics, such as sentiment scores and other linguistic variables. Notable examples include RavenPack¹. However, the lack of full-text access has limited the ability to customize analyses and has prevented researchers from verifying the reliability of the provided metrics (M. Hoffmann et al., 2022).

In this study, we present a novel approach implemented in Python to obtain the full text of newspaper articles at nearly zero cost by utilizing data provided by GDELT. Compared to the sources mentioned above, GDELT offers several advantages: it provides global coverage, enables access to news in multiple languages from various countries, and includes not only newspaper articles but also content from other media sources. Even more importantly, its use is free and accessible through Google BigQuery, making it a fundamental resource for research based on news analysis.

2. GDELT Overview

GDELT is a vast, open dataset that captures and analyzes news media worldwide in real-time. Its system continuously monitors print, broadcast, and online news sources in over 100 languages, extracting structured information on global events. By

¹ <https://www.ravenpack.com/>

leveraging advanced NLP techniques, GDELT translates, classifies, and organizes media content to create a comprehensive record of global events. These events are then encoded using the Conflict and Mediation Event Observations (CAMEO) framework, which categorizes interactions between actors, identifies event types, and assigns geographic and temporal markers.

Studies using GDELT span various domains, including the spread of misinformation and fake news during the COVID-19 pandemic (Bruns et al., 2021, 2022); global news coverage of disasters and refugee crises (Kwak & An, 2014; Thomson et al., 2021); the influence of fake news on the online media ecosystem during the 2016 U.S. Presidential election (Guo & Vargo, 2020); and the relationship between news framing and socio-political events (Hopp et al., 2020). Additionally, GDELT has been used to analyze protests, revolutions, and other forms of civil unrest (Christensen, 2019; Fengcai et al., 2020; C. Hoffmann et al., 2018; Ponticelli & Voth, 2020; Wu & Gerber, 2017); as well as state repression of such movements (Christensen & Garfias, 2018). Furthermore, researchers have leveraged GDELT to examine institutional and civil society responses to the COVID-19 pandemic (David Williams et al., 2021; Fu & Zhu, 2022, 2022; Yuen et al., 2021).

Studies using GDELT data have been published in some of the most prestigious academic journals, including *Science* (Wang et al., 2016), *Scientific Reports* (Ferreira et al., 2021), *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* (Campante & Yanagizawa-Drott, 2018), and *Organization Science* (Odziemkowska & Henisz, 2021).

2.1. The GDELT News Ngrams Dataset

The Python code provided alongside this paper leverages one of the many datasets provided by GDELT: the Web News NGrams 3.0 Dataset. This dataset includes unigrams and a brief contextual snippet showing each unigram in context. The data is extracted from worldwide online news sources monitored by GDELT since January 1, 2020, and is updated every 15 minutes. According to GDELT, the dataset covers 42 billion words of news content in 152 different languages.

Each entry in the dataset consists of a different “unigram”, and has several metadata fields, including a brief contextual snippet showing the unigram surrounding context. These snippets are brief textual fragments preceding and following the unigram, allowing for relevance filtering and context determination. Typically, they include up to seven words for space-segmented languages or an equivalent amount of semantic information for *scriptio continua* languages. In addition to these contextual words, GDELT provides other metadata, such as the URL of the original article, the date when the article was detected by GDELT, the language of the article, and the language type, which can take one of two values:

- 1: Indicates that the language uses spaces to segment words, meaning that n-grams correspond to words.
- 2: Indicates that the language follows a *scriptio continua* structure (e.g., Chinese or Japanese), where words are not separated by spaces, meaning that n-grams correspond to characters.

The Python code provided with this paper only handles type 1 languages, but we plan to extend it to type 2 in the future.

Each entry's unigram is assigned a position indicator, represented by a decile value based on the portion of the article where it appears. This allows researchers to assess whether a given word is mentioned at the beginning or end of an article.

Despite its strengths, the News NGrams dataset presents certain challenges. Its main limitation is the inability to access the full corpus of news articles. To address this issue, this paper proposes a methodology implemented in an open-source Python code that is freely available on GitHub (<https://github.com/iandrea/c/gdeltnews>), enabling the reconstruction of news articles' text from the collection of n-grams.

3. Reconstructing News Text

The input used in our code is a JSON dictionary from the News Ngrams dataset, available through the BigQuery API or directly downloadable from GDELT. Each entry represents an n-gram extracted from a news article, along with metadata such as its surrounding context ("pre" and "post" fields), URL, language, and a positional indicator ("pos") that reflects its approximate location in the article, divided into deciles.

Initially, the code organizes entries by their source URL to identify and separate those originating from distinct articles. Each entry's "pre", "ngram", and "post" fields are then joined to form a text fragment. While this does not usually result in a full sentence, it gives a snippet, or fragment, of the article centered around the n-gram. If

the snippet position is less than 20 (meaning it should appear at the beginning of an article) and it contains the string " / ", it is assumed that this may be a GDELT artifact where the end of the article has been appended to the beginning (in the “pre” field). In this case, the snippet is split at " / ", and the first part is discarded to remove the erroneous content.

Once all fragments for an article have been created, the corpus of the article is reconstructed, starting with a single fragment and iteratively appending or prepending the others based on maximum word overlap, guided by the pos values. Although pos is only a decile approximation, it helps prevent the incorrect combination of fragments from distant parts of the article.

Finally, the code removes overlapping segments that may have been introduced during reconstruction to produce a cleaner version of the article text.

The full code and a more detailed description are provided here:

<https://github.com/iandrea/c/gdeltnews>.

4. Validation

To validate our method, we require a benchmark corpus of original news articles to compare against the reconstructed articles generated by our pipeline. For this purpose, we use EventRegistry (Leban et al., 2014), a widely adopted news aggregation platform that offers access to full-text articles from various sources. We construct a benchmark dataset by downloading online articles published during the second half of December 2023 (December 15-

31, 2023) from several major U.S. news outlets: The New York Times (NYT), CNN, The Washington Post (TWP), The Wall Street Journal (WSJ), Bloomberg, and PRNewswire.

We then collect all available articles from the GDELT Web NGrams 3.0 dataset for the same time window. By matching articles across the two datasets using their URLs, we construct a merged corpus of 2,211 articles: 167 from Bloomberg, 10 from CNN, 389 from NYT, 493 from TWP, 252 from WSJ, and 900 from PRNewswire. The total number of GDELT entries, representing all n-grams, is 3,634,545.

Before applying any evaluation metric, we preprocess all articles by cleaning and tokenizing the text. This ensures that our comparisons are not affected by formatting differences, punctuation, or non-substantive inconsistencies.

To evaluate how well our method reconstructs the original text, we compare each GDELT-reconstructed article to its corresponding EventRegistry article using two approaches. First, we calculate the Levenshtein Distance², which counts the number of single-character edits (insertions, deletions, substitutions) needed to transform one string into another. From the Levenshtein distance, we calculate a measure of similarity given by the following formula:

$$\textit{Levenshtein Similarity} = 1 - \frac{\textit{Levenshtein distance}}{\textit{len}(t_1) + \textit{len}(t_2)}$$

where $\textit{len}(t_x)$ denotes the length of the string x . The formula gives a value of 0 when the two strings are completely different and a value of 1 if they are identical.

² Based on Levenshtein from Python's Levenshtein module (<https://rapidfuzz.github.io/Levenshtein/>)

Second, we use the `SequenceMatcher` class from Python's *diff*lib module (<https://docs.python.org/3/library/difflib.html>) to identify the longest matching sequences between two strings, giving more weight to consecutive matches and preserving token order. Specifically, `SequenceMatcher` finds the longest contiguous matching subsequence between two strings, then recursively repeats the process for the substrings before and after that match. This continues until no more matching blocks are found. The similarity ratio is then calculated as:

$$\textit{SequenceMatcher Similarity} = (2 \times M) / TC$$

where M is the total number of matching characters and TC is the total number of characters in both strings combined.

We selected these approaches over other similarity metrics because they account not only for the presence of shared words between two articles but also for the order in which those words appear. This is crucial for our goal: rebuilding the article body in the correct sequence from fragmented snippets. Since one of the core challenges of working with these text fragments is that they are unordered and partially overlapping, our method focuses specifically on reconstructing the correct order of words and sentences. For this reason, metrics that are sensitive to word order are especially suitable for validating the method.

Of course, some differences between the `EventRegistry` and `GDELT` versions of the same article may be unrelated to our reconstruction algorithm. These can include differences in scraping methods (e.g., one may omit the title), updates or edits made to the article over time, or inconsistencies in how the content is segmented.

To assess our method under different conditions, we conduct two analyses. First, we compute similarity metrics for all matched article pairs without any filtering. Second, we restrict the comparison to article pairs that share a minimum number of tokens (using Jaccard Similarity to quantify the percentage of overlapping tokens), regardless of order. The idea is that if two articles share most of their content, they likely represent the same version of the text and can serve as a stronger test of our method’s ability to reconstruct token order.

Metric	Common tokens (%)			
	No Filter	>60%	>70%	>80%
Levenshtein Similarity	0.76	0.92	0.94	0.95
SequenceMatcher Similarity	0.74	0.92	0.93	0.95

Table 1. Validation results.

Results are shown in Table 1. Without filtering, the average similarity between reconstructed and original articles is 0.75 (Levenshtein) and 0.73 (SequenceMatcher). When we filter for article pairs that share at least 60% of tokens, similarity rises to 0.92 for both metrics. At 70% token overlap, it increases further to 0.94 and 0.93, and when using the 80% threshold of minimum token overlap, similarity reaches 0.95 for both metrics.

These findings strongly support the validity of our reconstruction method. Even in the presence of minor noise, missing metadata, or article updates, our approach reliably rebuilds the

article structure with high fidelity. In cases where the original and reconstructed articles are very likely to reflect the same underlying version, the similarity is nearly perfect. This confirms that our method not only captures the main content but also preserves the structure and flow of the original text — a key requirement for any downstream application involving natural language analysis.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a method for reconstructing the full body of news articles using the GDELT’s Web News NGrams 3.0 dataset. Our open-source Python code allows researchers to generate structured, large-scale newspaper text data at nearly zero cost, facilitating a wide range of applications in economics, social sciences, and natural language processing.

The codebase is actively maintained and designed to be modular and extensible. For example, it can be easily adapted to include filters based on specific news sources by leveraging the URL field provided by GDELT. This makes the tool flexible for targeted research use cases.

However, the approach is not without limitations. Notably, article titles are not yet included in the GDELT dataset, and based on current information, we do not expect them to be available in the near future. This limits the metadata available for organizing and referencing the reconstructed articles. Additionally, the single-process version of our code can be slow, especially when processing large volumes of data. While this is acceptable for exploratory or small-scale research, future improvements

could involve automating the pipeline to run at regular intervals—e.g., every 15 minutes in sync with GDELT’s update frequency—to enable near real-time processing. In addition, we provide a code version that runs in parallel to solve the speed problem.

Looking ahead, we plan to extend the code to support languages that do not use space-based word segmentation (e.g., Chinese or Japanese) and to improve the code efficiency and precision. These extensions aim to support the open dissemination of information and facilitate free access to high-quality research materials for scholars worldwide.

References

- Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., & others. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *33*, 1877–1901.
- Bruns, A., Harrington, S., & Hurcombe, E. (2021). Coronavirus conspiracy theories: Tracing misinformation trajectories from the fringes to the mainstream. *Communicating COVID-19: Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 229–249.
- Bruns, A., Hurcombe, E., & Harrington, S. (2022). Covering conspiracy: Approaches to reporting the COVID/5G conspiracy theory. *Digital Journalism*, *10*(6), 930–951.
- Campante, F., & Yanagizawa-Drott, D. (2018). Long-range growth: Economic development in the global network of air links. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *133*(3), 1395–1458.

- Chandra, R., Zhu, B., Fang, Q., & Shinjikashvili, E. (2024). Large language models for sentiment analysis of newspaper articles during COVID-19: The Guardian. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2405.13056*.
- Christensen, D. (2019). Concession stands: How mining investments incite protest in Africa. *International Organization*, 73(1), 65–101.
- Christensen, D., & Garfias, F. (2018). Can you hear me now? How communication technology affects protest and repression. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, 13(1), 89.
- David Williams, O., Yung, K. C., & Grépin, K. A. (2021). The failure of private health services: COVID-19 induced crises in low-and middle-income country (LMIC) health systems. *Global Public Health*, 16(8–9), 1320–1333.
- Davis, S. (2016). *An Index of Global Economic Policy Uncertainty* (No. w22740; p. w22740). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w22740>
- Dearing, J. W., & Rogers, E. M. (1996). *Agenda-setting* (Vol. 6). Sage.
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- Fengcai, Q., Jinsheng, D., & Li, W. (2020). An online framework for temporal social unrest event prediction using news stream. *2020 International Conference on Cyber-Enabled Distributed Computing and Knowledge Discovery (CyberC)*, 176–182.
- Ferreira, L. N., Hong, I., Rutherford, A., & Cebrian, M. (2021). The small-world network of global protests. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 19215.

- Fronzetti Colladon, A. (2020). Forecasting election results by studying brand importance in online news. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36(2), 414–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.05.013>
- Fronzetti Colladon, A., Grassi, S., Ravazzolo, F., & Violante, F. (2023). Forecasting financial markets with semantic network analysis in the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Forecasting*, 42(5), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.1002/for.2936>
- Fronzetti Colladon, A., Toschi, L., & Ughetto, E. (2025). The power of narrative: Engaging different audiences through media content in ICOs. *Venture Capital*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691066.2025.2469503>
- Fu, K., & Zhu, Y. (2022). Did the world overlook the media’s early warning of COVID-19? In *COVID-19* (pp. 215–219). Routledge.
- Galambos, L. (2019). *The public image of big business in America, 1880-1940: A quantitative study in social change*. JHU Press.
- García, D. (2013). Sentiment during Recessions. *The Journal of Finance*, 68(3), 1267–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12027>
- Guo, L., & Vargo, C. (2020). “Fake news” and emerging online media ecosystem: An integrated intermedia agenda-setting analysis of the 2016 US presidential election. *Communication Research*, 47(2), 178–200.
- Hoffmann, C., Molter, H., & Sorge, M. (2018). The Parameterized Complexity of Centrality Improvement in Networks. In A. Tjoa, L. Bellatreche, S. Biffl, J. van Leeuwen, & J. Wiedermann (Eds.), *SOFSEM 2018: Theory and Practice of Computer Science* (pp. 111–124). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73117-9_8

- Hoffmann, M., Santos, F. G., Neumayer, C., & Mercea, D. (2022). Lifting the veil on the use of big data news repositories: A documentation and critical discussion of a protest event analysis. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 16(4), 283–302.
- Hopp, F. R., Fisher, J. T., & Weber, R. (2020). Dynamic transactions between news frames and sociopolitical events: An integrative, hidden markov model approach. *Journal of Communication*, 70(3), 335–355.
- Jenkins, J. C., & Perrow, C. (1977). Insurgency of the powerless: Farm worker movements (1946-1972). *American Sociological Review*, 249–268.
- Kölbel, J. F., Busch, T., & Jancso, L. M. (2017). How Media Coverage of Corporate Social Irresponsibility Increases Financial Risk: Media Coverage of Corporate Social Irresponsibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(11), 2266–2284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2647>
- Kwak, H., & An, J. (2014). Understanding news geography and major determinants of global news coverage of disasters. *Computation + Journalism Symposium*, 1–7.
- Leban, G., Fortuna, B., Brank, J., & Grobelnik, M. (2014). Event registry: Learning about world events from news. *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on World Wide Web*, 107–110.
- McAdam, D. (1999). *Political process and the development of black insurgency, 1930-1970*. University of Chicago Press.
- McCombs, M. (1997). Building Consensus: The News Media's Agenda-Setting Roles. *Political Communication*, 14(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105846097199236>

- Odziemkowska, K., & Henisz, W. J. (2021). Webs of influence: Secondary stakeholder actions and cross-national corporate social performance. *Organization Science*, 32(1), 233–255.
- Olzak, S. (1994). *The dynamics of ethnic competition and conflict*. Stanford University Press.
- Pollock, T. G., & Rindova, V. P. (2003). Media Legitimation Effects in the Market for Initial Public Offerings. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(5), 631–642.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/30040654>
- Ponticelli, J., & Voth, H.-J. (2020). Austerity and anarchy: Budget cuts and social unrest in Europe, 1919–2008. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 48(1), 1–19.
- Ross, S. (2006). Don't Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate. *Melbourne Journal of Politics*, 31, 145–149.
- Scheufele, D. A. (1999). Framing as a Theory of Media Effects. *Journal of Communication*, 49(1), 103–122. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1999.tb02784.x>
- Shiller, R. J. (2020). *Narrative economics: How stories go viral and drive major economic events*.
- Tetlock, P. C. (2007). Giving Content to Investor Sentiment: The Role of Media in the Stock Market. *The Journal of Finance*, 62(3), 1139–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2007.01232.x>
- Tetlock, P. C., Saar-Tsechansky, M., & Macskassy, S. (2008). More Than Words: Quantifying Language to Measure Firms' Fundamentals. *The Journal of Finance*, 63(3), 1437–1467.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2008.01362.x>
- Thomson, R., Hussain, M. N., Dancy, C., & Pyke, A. (2021). *Social, Cultural, and Behavioral Modeling: 14th International Conference, SBP-BRiMS 2021, Virtual Event, July 6–9, 2021, Proceedings* (Vol. 12720). Springer Nature.

- Wang, W., Kennedy, R., Lazer, D., & Ramakrishnan, N. (2016). Growing pains for global monitoring of societal events. *Science*, 353(6307), 1502–1503.
- Wu, C., & Gerber, M. S. (2017). Forecasting civil unrest using social media and protest participation theory. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 5(1), 82–94.
- Yuen, S., Cheng, E. W., Or, N. H., Grépin, K. A., Fu, K.-W., Yung, K.-C., & Yue, R. P. (2021). A tale of two city-states: A comparison of the state-led vs civil society-led responses to COVID-19 in Singapore and Hong Kong. *Global Public Health*, 16(8–9), 1283–1303.
- Zhang, T., Ladhak, F., Durmus, E., Liang, P., McKeown, K., & Hashimoto, T. B. (2023). *Benchmarking Large Language Models for News Summarization* (No. arXiv:2301.13848). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.13848>